

FEIS Summary

DEIS Executive Summary

Acronyms and Abbreviations

1.0 Purpose of and Need for the Action 1-1

- 1.1 Description of the Study Area 1-3
- 1.2 Proposed Action 1-5
- 1.3 Purpose of and Need for the Proposed Action 1-5
 - 1.3.1 Discussion of the Purpose and Need for the Proposed Action 1-6

2.0 Alternatives 2-1

- 2.1 Alternatives Development and Screening Process 2-1
- 2.2 Screening Criteria for Reasonable Alternatives 2-1
 - 2.2.1 Purpose and Need Screening Criteria 2-2
 - 2.2.2 Technical Screening Criteria 2-3
- 2.3 Defining Logical Termini 2-3
 - 2.3.1 The Mat-Su 2-4
 - 2.3.2 Anchorage 2-4
 - 2.3.3 Logical Termini 2-4
- 2.4 Defining the Study Area for the Proposed Project and Mapping Study Constraints 2-5
 - 2.4.1 Proposed Study Area 2-5
 - 2.4.2 Known/Anticipated Engineering, Environmental, and Physical Constraints 2-5
 - 2.4.2.1 Key environmental and physical constraints 2-8
 - 2.4.2.2 Shipping constraints 2-9
 - 2.4.2.3 Military constraints 2-10
 - 2.4.2.4 Suitable crossing area 2-12
- 2.5 Defining the Range of Alternatives and Application of Screening Criteria 2-12
 - 2.5.1 No Action Alternative 2-12
 - 2.5.2 Level I Screening: Transportation Modes 2-13
 - 2.5.2.1 Expandable commuter ferry 2-16
 - 2.5.2.2 Rail bridge across Knik Arm 2-18
 - 2.5.2.3 Commuter rail to Wasilla 2-20
 - 2.5.2.4 Transportation Package (multimodal) 2-22
 - 2.5.2.5 Roadways 2-24
 - 2.5.2.6 Summary of Level I screening of transportation modes 2-24
 - 2.5.3 Level II Screening: Roadway Crossing Methods 2-24
 - 2.5.3.1 Bridges 2-25
 - 2.5.3.2 Tunnels 2-27
 - 2.5.3.3 Tidal power dam 2-28
 - 2.5.3.4 Summary of Level II screening of roadway crossing methods 2-28
 - 2.5.4 Level III Screening: Roadway Corridors and Variants 2-29
 - 2.5.4.1 Mat Su approach corridors 2-33
 - 2.5.4.2 Crossing corridors 2-35
 - 2.5.4.3 Anchorage approach corridors 2-40
 - 2.5.4.4 Summary of Level III screening of roadway corridors and variants 2-90

2.5.5	Level IV Screening: Roadway Engineering Alternatives	2-93
2.5.5.1	Mat Su side	2-95
2.5.5.2	Southern Alignment: bridge crossing lengths.....	2-96
2.5.5.3	Anchorage side: Degan Alternative and Erickson Alternative engineering alternatives	2-100
2.5.5.4	Summary of Level IV screening of roadway engineering alternatives....	2-110
2.5.6	Summary of Alternatives Carried Forward.....	2-110
2.6	Proposed General Design Features	2-113
2.6.1	Mat Su Side Alternatives	2-115
2.6.1.1	Point MacKenzie Road Alternative	2-115
2.6.1.2	Northern Access Road Alternative	2-117
2.6.2	Bridge Structure	2-117
2.6.3	Proposed Bridge Approaches.....	2-120
2.6.4	Anchorage Approach Alternatives.....	2-120
2.6.4.1	Degan Alternative	2-121
2.6.4.2	Erickson Alternative	2-126
2.6.5	Knik Arm Crossing Compatibility with the Addition of Future Rail Facilities....	2-129
2.6.5.1	Mat-Su side	2-129
2.6.5.2	Crossing: Southern Alignment and a future rail bridge	2-129
2.6.5.3	Anchorage side	2-130
2.7	Summary of Estimated Impacts of Proposed KAC Project Alternatives.....	2-131
2.8	Summary of Complete Project Alternatives Carried Forward.....	2-136
2.9	Preferred Alternative.....	2-142
3.0	Affected Environment.....	3-1
3.1	Land Use	3-1
3.1.1	Land Use and Ownership.....	3-3
3.1.1.1	The Knik Arm Crossing project and federal, state, and local government planning regulations.....	3-3
3.1.1.2	Existing land use	3-6
3.1.1.3	Land ownership.....	3-10
3.1.1.4	Existing zoning	3-16
3.1.1.5	Population density.....	3-20
3.1.2	Land Use Plans and Policies	3-20
3.1.2.1	Mat-Su Borough plans	3-20
3.1.2.2	Plans in Anchorage	3-24
3.1.2.3	State and local management plans	3-25
3.1.3	Farmland	3-25
3.1.3.1	Existing agricultural land.....	3-25
3.2	Social Environment.....	3-27
3.2.1	Neighborhoods, Community Cohesion, and Environmental Justice	3-27
3.2.1.1	Neighborhood and community characteristics.....	3-27
3.2.1.2	Community cohesion	3-32
3.2.1.3	Environmental justice	3-34
3.2.2	Transportation, Travel Patterns, Accessibility, and Highway and Traffic Safety ..	3-38
3.2.2.1	Vehicular travel.....	3-39
3.2.2.2	Marine transportation.....	3-49
3.2.2.3	Rail transportation.....	3-52
3.2.2.4	Air transportation.....	3-54
3.2.2.5	Pedestrians and bicyclists	3-55

3.2.3	Community Facilities, Public Services, and Fiscal Conditions.....	3-58
3.2.3.1	Provision of community facilities and public services.....	3-58
3.2.3.2	Fiscal conditions.....	3-66
3.2.4	Population and Social Groups	3-70
3.2.4.1	Population size	3-70
3.2.4.2	Race	3-71
3.2.4.3	Income characteristics	3-73
3.2.4.4	Poverty rate	3-74
3.2.4.5	Educational attainment.....	3-75
3.2.5	Subsistence	3-75
3.2.5.1	Subsistence as defined under state and federal law.....	3-75
3.2.5.2	Contemporary harvest patterns of the Cook Inlet Dena’ina.....	3-76
3.2.6	Utilities	3-77
3.2.6.1	Water.....	3-77
3.2.6.2	Sewer.....	3-79
3.2.6.3	Gas and fuel lines	3-79
3.2.6.4	Electricity	3-83
3.2.6.5	Communications.....	3-83
3.2.7	Relocation.....	3-83
3.2.7.1	The Mat-Su.....	3-85
3.2.7.2	Southern Alignment	3-85
3.2.7.3	Anchorage	3-85
3.2.7.4	Characteristics of affected community.....	3-85
3.2.7.5	Availability of property for relocations and displacements	3-86
3.3	Joint Development.....	3-86
3.4	Economic Environment.....	3-87
3.4.1	Labor Force and Unemployment.....	3-88
3.4.1.1	Employment and output by industry sector.....	3-89
3.4.1.2	Transportation	3-91
3.5	Physical Environment.....	3-92
3.5.1	Air Quality.....	3-95
3.5.1.1	Climatic conditions	3-95
3.5.1.2	Air quality conformity and State Implementation Plan.....	3-96
3.5.1.3	Critical pollutants	3-98
3.5.2	Noise Levels.....	3-104
3.5.2.1	Study Area land use.....	3-105
3.5.2.2	Existing noise levels.....	3-106
3.5.3	Geology/Soils/Seismic Hazards	3-108
3.5.3.1	Geology and soils.....	3-108
3.5.3.2	Seismic hazards.....	3-119
3.5.4	Hazardous Materials and Contaminated Sites.....	3-123
3.5.4.1	Mat Su approach alternatives	3-124
3.5.4.2	Southern Alignment	3-125
3.5.4.3	Anchorage approach alternatives	3-125
3.5.5	Energy Resources.....	3-130
3.5.6	Ground Water Resources.....	3-130
3.5.6.1	The Mat-Su.....	3-130
3.5.6.2	Anchorage	3-133
3.6	Cultural Environment.....	3-133
3.6.1	Archaeology and Historic Preservation.....	3-133

3.6.1.1	Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act consultation	3-133
3.6.1.2	Agency and tribal consultation	3-134
3.6.1.3	Identification of historic properties.....	3-134
3.6.1.4	Evaluation of historic significance.....	3-135
3.6.1.5	Determination of eligibility	3-135
3.6.2	Recreational Resources, Parks, and Wildlife Refuges.....	3-138
3.6.2.1	The Mat-Su	3-138
3.6.2.2	Knik Arm	3-143
3.6.2.3	Anchorage	3-143
3.6.3	Visual Environment	3-147
3.6.3.1	Regional context	3-147
3.6.3.2	Viewsheds.....	3-148
3.6.3.3	Viewer groups.....	3-150
3.6.3.4	Local values	3-152
3.6.3.5	Visual character and quality	3-153
3.7	Section 4(f) Resources	3-162
3.8	Natural Environment.....	3-167
3.8.1	Surface Water Resources	3-167
3.8.1.1	The Mat-Su	3-168
3.8.1.2	Knik Arm	3-171
3.8.1.3	Anchorage.....	3-174
3.8.2	Wetlands	3-176
3.8.2.1	The Mat-Su	3-178
3.8.2.2	Knik Arm	3-179
3.8.2.3	Anchorage.....	3-180
3.8.3	Floodplains.....	3-181
3.8.3.1	The Mat-Su	3-181
3.8.3.2	Knik Arm	3-181
3.8.3.3	Anchorage.....	3-182
3.8.4	Wild and Scenic Rivers.....	3-182
3.8.5	Coastal Barriers.....	3-182
3.8.6	Coastal Zone	3-182
3.8.6.1	Alaska	3-183
3.8.6.2	The Mat-Su	3-184
3.8.6.3	Anchorage	3-185
3.8.7	Habitats	3-185
3.8.7.1	Marine habitat	3-185
3.8.7.2	Freshwater habitat.....	3-187
3.8.7.3	Terrestrial habitat	3-187
3.8.8	Wildlife	3-194
3.8.8.1	Marine invertebrates	3-194
3.8.8.2	Fish and essential fish habitat	3-195
3.8.8.3	Birds.....	3-202
3.8.8.4	Marine mammals	3-210
3.8.8.5	Terrestrial mammals	3-214
3.8.9	Threatened or Endangered Species.....	3-221
3.8.10	Permits	3-222

4.0 Environmental Consequences.....4-1

- 4.1 Land Use Impacts 4-2
 - 4.1.1 Land Use and Ownership Changes 4-2
 - 4.1.1.1 No Action Alternative 4-2
 - 4.1.1.2 Build alternatives..... 4-3
 - 4.1.2 Consistency with Land Use Plans and Policies 4-19
 - 4.1.2.1 Build alternatives..... 4-19
 - 4.1.3 Farmland Impacts 4-21
 - 4.1.3.1 Direct impacts 4-21
 - 4.1.3.2 Construction impacts 4-21
 - 4.1.3.3 Indirect impacts 4-21
- 4.2 Impacts on the Social Environment 4-22
 - 4.2.1 Neighborhoods, Community Cohesion, and Environmental Justice Impacts 4-22
 - 4.2.1.1 No Action Alternative 4-23
 - 4.2.1.2 Build alternatives..... 4-24
 - 4.2.1.3 Environmental justice..... 4-29
 - 4.2.2 Transportation Impacts 4-33
 - 4.2.2.1 Vehicular travel impacts 4-34
 - 4.2.2.2 Marine transportation impacts 4-48
 - 4.2.2.3 Rail transportation impacts 4-50
 - 4.2.2.4 Air transportation impacts 4-51
 - 4.2.2.5 Impacts on transit 4-52
 - 4.2.2.6 Impacts on pedestrians and bicyclists 4-53
 - 4.2.3 Impacts on Community Facilities, Public Services, and Fiscal Conditions 4-55
 - 4.2.3.1 No-Action Alternative 4-55
 - 4.2.3.2 Build alternatives..... 4-60
 - 4.2.4 Impacts on Population and Social Groups..... 4-68
 - 4.2.4.1 No Action Alternative 4-68
 - 4.2.4.2 Build alternatives..... 4-71
 - 4.2.5 Subsistence Impacts 4-77
 - 4.2.5.1 No Action Alternative 4-77
 - 4.2.5.2 Build alternatives..... 4-78
 - 4.2.6 Impacts on Utilities 4-79
 - 4.2.6.1 Water 4-79
 - 4.2.6.2 Sewer (Wastewater) 4-81
 - 4.2.6.3 Gas..... 4-82
 - 4.2.6.4 Electricity 4-84
 - 4.2.6.5 Communications systems 4-86
 - 4.2.6.6 Fuel lines 4-87
 - 4.2.6.7 Mitigation/Commitments 4-87
 - 4.2.7 Relocation Impacts 4-87
 - 4.2.7.1 Direct and construction impacts 4-88
 - 4.2.7.2 Housing resources 4-96
 - 4.2.7.3 Business, farm, and nonprofit resources 4-96
 - 4.2.7.4 Indirect impacts 4-97
 - 4.2.7.5 Mitigation/Commitments 4-97
- 4.3 Joint Development Impacts 4-98
 - 4.3.1 No-Action Alternative 4-98
 - 4.3.2 Build Alternatives 4-98

4.4	Economic Impacts.....	4-99
4.4.1	Employment.....	4-100
4.4.1.1	No Action Alternative.....	4-100
4.4.1.2	Build alternatives.....	4-102
4.4.2	Economic Sectors.....	4-110
4.4.2.1	No Action Alternative.....	4-111
4.4.2.2	Build alternatives.....	4-113
4.5	Impacts on the Physical Environment.....	4-121
4.5.1	Air Quality Impacts.....	4-121
4.5.1.1	No Action Alternative.....	4-121
4.5.1.2	Build alternatives.....	4-121
4.5.2	Impacts on Noise Levels.....	4-129
4.5.2.1	Methodology.....	4-129
4.5.2.2	No Action Alternative.....	4-130
4.5.2.3	Build alternatives.....	4-130
4.5.2.4	Construction impacts.....	4-134
4.5.2.5	Mitigation/Commitments.....	4-136
4.5.3	Geology/Soils/Seismic Impacts.....	4-136
4.5.3.1	Geology and soils.....	4-136
4.5.3.2	Seismic hazards.....	4-138
4.5.4	Impacts Relating to Hazardous Materials and Contaminated Sites.....	4-140
4.5.4.1	Mat Su approach alternatives.....	4-140
4.5.4.2	Southern Alignment.....	4-141
4.5.4.3	Anchorage approach alternatives.....	4-143
4.5.4.4	Mitigation/Commitment.....	4-149
4.5.5	Impacts on Energy Resources.....	4-150
4.5.5.1	Methodology.....	4-150
4.5.5.2	Direct effects.....	4-151
4.5.5.3	Construction impacts.....	4-151
4.5.5.4	Indirect effects.....	4-151
4.5.6	Ground water.....	4-153
4.5.6.1	No Action Alternative.....	4-153
4.5.6.2	Build alternatives.....	4-153
4.6	Impacts on the Cultural Environment.....	4-155
4.6.1	Impacts on Archaeology and Historic Preservation.....	4-155
4.6.1.1	Direct impacts.....	4-155
4.6.1.2	Indirect and cumulative impacts.....	4-157
4.6.1.3	Archaeology and historic preservation.....	4-157
4.6.2	Impacts on Recreational Resources, Parks, and Wildlife Refuges.....	4-158
4.6.2.1	The Mat-Su.....	4-158
4.6.2.2	Knik Arm.....	4-162
4.6.2.3	Anchorage.....	4-163
4.6.3	Impacts on the Visual Environment.....	4-169
4.6.3.1	Project visual characteristics.....	4-169
4.6.3.2	Impacts to key views.....	4-171
4.7	Impacts on Section 4(f) Resources.....	4-176
4.7.1	The Mat-Su.....	4-176
4.7.1.1	No Action Alternative.....	4-177
4.7.1.2	Mat-Su approach alternatives.....	4-177
4.7.2	Southern Alignment.....	4-177

4.7.3	Anchorage Approach Alternatives	4-177
4.7.3.1	Degan Alternative	4-177
4.7.3.2	Erickson Alternative	4-178
4.7.4	Mitigation	4-178
4.8	Impacts on the Natural Environment	4-181
4.8.1	Impacts on Surface Water Resources	4-181
4.8.1.1	No Action Alternative	4-181
4.8.1.2	Mat-Su approach alternatives	4-184
4.8.1.3	Southern Alignment	4-187
4.8.1.4	Anchorage approach alternatives	4-200
4.8.2	Impacts on Wetlands	4-201
4.8.2.1	No-Action Alternative	4-202
4.8.2.2	Mat-Su approach alternatives	4-203
4.8.2.3	Southern Alignment	4-209
4.8.2.4	Anchorage approach alternatives	4-209
4.8.3	Impacts on Floodplains	4-210
4.8.3.1	No Action Alternative	4-210
4.8.3.2	Mat-Su approach alternatives	4-210
4.8.3.3	Southern Alignment	4-211
4.8.3.4	Anchorage approach alternatives	4-211
4.8.4	Impacts on Wild and Scenic Rivers	4-212
4.8.5	Impacts on Coastal Barriers	4-212
4.8.6	Impacts on the Coastal Zone	4-212
4.8.6.1	No Action Alternative	4-212
4.8.6.2	Coastal zone stipulations common to all build alternatives	4-212
4.8.6.3	Mat Su approach alternatives and Southern Alignment	4-213
4.8.6.4	Anchorage approach alternatives and Southern Alignment	4-213
4.8.7	Impacts on Habitats	4-214
4.8.7.1	Marine habitat	4-214
4.8.7.2	Freshwater habitat	4-218
4.8.7.3	Terrestrial habitat	4-219
4.8.8	Impacts on Wildlife	4-226
4.8.8.1	Marine invertebrates	4-226
4.8.8.2	Fish and essential fish habitat	4-227
4.8.8.3	Birds	4-242
4.8.8.4	Marine mammals	4-250
4.8.8.5	Terrestrial mammals	4-258
4.8.9	Impacts on Threatened or Endangered Species	4-263
4.8.10	Implications for permitting	4-264
4.8.10.1	No Action Alternative	4-264
4.8.10.2	Permits common to all build alternatives	4-264
4.8.10.3	Mat Su approach alternatives	4-264
4.8.10.4	Southern Alignment	4-264
4.8.10.5	Anchorage approach alternatives	4-265
4.9	Cumulative Impacts	4-265
4.9.1	Cumulative Impact Assessment Methodology	4-266
4.9.2	Past and Present Actions Affecting the Environment	4-267
4.9.3	Reasonably Foreseeable Future Actions Affecting the Environment	4-268
4.9.4	Cumulative Impact of Alternatives	4-271
4.9.4.1	Impacts on land use	4-275

4.9.4.2	Impacts on the social environment	4-282
4.9.4.3	Joint development	4-288
4.9.4.4	Economics.....	4-288
4.9.4.5	Impacts on the physical environment	4-289
4.9.4.6	Impacts on cultural environment	4-296
4.9.4.7	Section 4(f) resources	4-298
4.9.4.8	Impacts on the natural environment.....	4-299
4.10	Short-Term Uses and Long-Term Productivity	4-324
4.10.1	No-Action Alternative	4-324
4.10.2	Build Alternatives.....	4-324
4.11	Irreversible and Irretrievable Commitments of Resources	4-325
4.11.1	No-Action Alternative	4-325
4.11.2	Build Alternatives.....	4-325
4.11.2.1	Mat-Su approach alternatives	4-326
4.11.2.2	Southern Alignment.....	4-326
4.11.2.3	Anchorage approach alternatives.....	4-327
4.12	Environmental Commitments, Mitigation, and Monitoring	4-327

5.0 List of Preparers

6.0 DEIS Distribution List

7.0	Comments and Coordination (Scoping).....	7-1
7.1	Notice of Intent	7-1
7.2	Agency Coordination.....	7-1
7.2.1	Cooperating Agencies.....	7-1
7.2.2	TeamBuilding Initiative.....	7-3
7.3	Agency Consultation.....	7-4
7.3.1	Interdisciplinary Team Meetings (Agency Scoping Meetings)	7-4
7.3.2	Small Group Meetings (Agency).....	7-5
7.3.3	One-on-One Agency Meetings	7-7
7.3.4	Study Area Tours	7-8
7.4	Tribal Government Consultation	7-8
7.4.1	Tribal Meetings and Workshops.....	7-9
7.5	Public Stakeholder Outreach.....	7-11
7.5.1	Government Hill Community Outreach.....	7-11
7.5.2	Nongovernmental Organizations Working Group.....	7-12
7.5.3	Native Corporation Outreach.....	7-13
7.5.4	Other Community Council Meetings.....	7-14
7.6	General Public Outreach	7-14
7.6.1	Public Scoping Meetings	7-14
7.6.1.1	Public meeting notification.....	7-15
7.6.2	Other Public Meetings	7-16
7.6.3	Speakers Bureau and Periodic Briefings.....	7-17
7.7	Other Public Involvement and Information Techniques.....	7-18
7.7.1	Newsletters.....	7-18
7.7.2	Newspaper Inserts.....	7-19
7.7.3	Study Web Site	7-20
7.7.4	Household Surveys	7-20

7.7.5	Media Outreach	7-20
7.7.6	Study Phone Line	7-20
7.8	Key Issues Identified During Scoping.....	7-21
7.8.1	Issues Identified During Scoping but Not Addressed in Detail in the DEIS.....	7-25
7.9	Context-Sensitive and Sustainable Solutions	7-26
7.10	Public Hearings	7-26
7.11	Other Postscoping Activities	7-27
7.12	Comments on Draft EIS	7-27
7.13	Executive Order 13166, Improving Access to Services for Persons with Limited English Proficiency.....	7-27
8.0	Comments and Coordination Following Approval of Draft EIS for Public Availability...8-1	
8.1	Introduction	8-1
8.2	Federal Approval, Local and Federal Notices, Invitation to Comment, and Document Transmission.....	8-1
8.2.1	Federal Notice of Availability	8-1
8.2.2	Review and Comment Period.....	8-2
8.2.3	Local Notices.....	8-2
8.2.4	Notification for Persons with Limited English Proficiency	8-4
8.2.5	Circulation and Transmission of the Document.....	8-5
8.3	Meetings Held During Draft EIS and Draft Section 4(f) Evaluation Comment Period	8-6
8.3.1	Public Hearing.....	8-6
8.3.2	Public Open House.....	8-6
8.3.3	Context Sensitive Solutions.....	8-7
8.3.4	Other Meetings.....	8-7
8.4	Comment Database and Process.....	8-21
8.4.1	Analysis Process.....	8-21
8.4.2	Comment Tracking.....	8-21
8.4.3	Comment Coding	8-21
8.4.4	Issue Categories.....	8-22
8.5	Agency Comment Summary	8-22
8.6	Tribal Comment Summary	8-29
8.7	Public Comment Summary	8-30

References

Index

Final Section 4(f) Evaluation

List of Tables

Table 2-1.	Knik Arm crossing corridor constraints	2-8
Table 2-2.	Anchorage approach corridor evaluation factors	2-43
Table 2-3.	Projected traveler cost, gasoline consumption, and out-of-direction travel, by Anchorage approach corridor	2-44
Table 2-4.	Level III screening matrix	2-91
Table 2-5.	Summary of crossing length alternative biological and engineering factors	2-97
Table 2-6.	Level IV screening matrix	2-110
Table 2-7.	Results of the four levels of screening (alternatives carried forward in the Draft EIS are shown in bold print)	2-111
Table 2-8.	Summary of direct impacts	2-133
Table 2-9.	Summary of direct impacts—complete project	2-137
Table 3-1.	Existing land use in the Mat-Su portion of the Study Area	3-7
Table 3-2.	Existing land use in the Anchorage Bowl	3-9
Table 3-3.	Land use of developable vacant land in the Anchorage Bowl	3-9
Table 3-4.	Land ownership in the Mat Su and Anchorage, 1998	3-14
Table 3-5.	Number of housing units in the affected area, 1990 and 2000	3-15
Table 3-6.	Nonprofit organizations in Government Hill offering social and recreational services	3-31
Table 3-7.	Racial/ethnic composition of the Study Area, 2000 and 1990 (%) Alaska Native or American Indian (alone, non-Hispanic)	3-72
Table 3-8.	Percentage of individuals below the poverty level in the Study Area, 1999 and 1989	3-75
Table 3-9.	Employment status of population 16 years old and over in the affected area, 2000	3-88
Table 3-10.	Employment projections for Anchorage	3-89
Table 3-11.	Employment in Anchorage and the Mat-Su, by 2004 industry sector	3-90
Table 3-12.	Study area climate	3-94
Table 3-13.	National Ambient Air Quality Standards	3-98
Table 3-14.	Monitored carbon monoxide in Anchorage	3-101
Table 3-15.	Monitored particulate matter equal to or less than 10 microns in diameter in Anchorage and Mat-Su areas	3-102
Table 3-16.	Monitored particulate matter equal to or less than 2.5 microns in diameter in Anchorage and Mat-Su areas	3-103
Table 3-17.	Common noise sources and levels	3-104
Table 3-18.	Noise abatement criteria	3-105
Table 3-19.	Existing noise levels in selected Study Area locations	3-108
Table 3-20.	Number of wells within the Study Area, located by township and range	3-131
Table 3-21.	Major public parks and campgrounds in the Mat Su	3-140
Table 3-22.	Parks and features in the Anchorage portion of the Study Area	3-146
Table 3-23.	Viewer groups descriptions, expectations, and values	3-150
Table 3-24.	Key view locations and their visual quality	3-153
Table 3-25.	Applicable Section 4(f) resources: size, land status, and features	3-167
Table 3-26.	Anchorage tidal data	3-171
Table 3-27.	Alaska Natural Heritage Program invasiveness ranking of nonnative plant species found within the Study Area	3-192
Table 3-28.	Regulated noxious weed species found in the Study Area, as ranked for invasiveness by the Alaska Natural Heritage Program	3-193
Table 3-29.	Essential fish habitat designations and presence in the Knik Arm portion of the Study Area	3-198
Table 3-30.	Essential fish habitat designations in the Anchorage portion of the Study Area	3-200

Table 3-31.	Bird species of special status that could occur in the Study Area	3-206
Table 3-32.	A comparison of fish found in the stomach analyses of Cook Inlet beluga whales and fish observed in Knik Arm (beluga prey species in Knik Arm)	3-214
Table 3-33.	Applicable federal, state, and local legislation	3-223
Table 3-34.	Executive Orders pertaining to NEPA.....	3-227
Table 4-1.	2030 Population and employment (jobs), by area: No-Action Alternative and proposed build alternatives	4-14
Table 4-2.	Number of households under the No Action Alternative and proposed build alternatives.....	4-17
Table 4-3.	2030 Peak-hour LOS at indirectly affected intersections	4-43
Table 4-4.	Indirect impacts, 2030 vehicular travel performance measures.....	4-44
Table 4-5.	Indirect impacts, 2030 vehicular travel performance measures compared with Base Year.....	4-47
Table 4-6.	Mat-Su population and households, under the No Action Alternative	4-57
Table 4-7.	Mat-Su property and sales tax revenues, under the No-Action Alternative	4-57
Table 4-8.	Selected Mat Su budget items/expenditures, under the No Action Alternative.....	4-58
Table 4-9.	Municipality of Anchorage population, households, school children, and public schools, under the No Action Alternative	4-59
Table 4-10.	Municipality of Anchorage property tax revenues, under the No Action Alternative.....	4-59
Table 4-11.	Selected Municipality of Anchorage budget items, under the No Action Alternative	4-59
Table 4-12.	State of Alaska selected expenditures, under the No Action Alternative	4-60
Table 4-13.	2000 Population compared with population projections under the No Action Alternative in Anchorage, 2010, 2020, and 2030	4-70
Table 4-14.	2000 Population compared with population projections under the No Action Alternative in the Mat Su, 2010, 2020, and 2030	4-70
Table 4-15.	Population changes for proposed build alternatives during construction phase	4-72
Table 4-16.	Total regional, Anchorage, and Mat Su population in 2000, and population projections for No Action and proposed build alternatives for 2010, 2020, and 2030	4-73
Table 4-17.	2000 Population compared with population projections for No Action and proposed build alternatives for Anchorage and regions, 2010, 2020, and 2030.....	4-74
Table 4-18.	2000 Population compared with population projections for No Action and proposed build alternatives for Mat Su and regions, 2010, 2020, and 2030.....	4-75
Table 4-19.	Total regional population redistribution by discrete regions in 2000 and under No Action and proposed build alternatives in 2010, 2020, and 2030 (percentage).....	4-76
Table 4-20.	Displacement summary	4-95
Table 4-21.	Residences for sale in \$200,000 to \$300,000 price range.....	4-96
Table 4-22.	Anchorage rental housing availability	4-96
Table 4-23.	Total employment in 2000 and percentage of total employment for Anchorage and the Mat-Su under the No Action Alternative, 2010, 2020, and 2030	4-101
Table 4-24.	Total wage and salary employment in 2000 and estimated change under the No Action Alternative and build alternatives, 2010, 2020, and 2030.....	4-104
Table 4-25.	Estimated direct expenditures during preconstruction and related employment and labor income impacts under the build alternatives.....	4-106
Table 4-26.	Estimated direct expenditures during construction and related employment and labor income effects under the proposed build alternatives.....	4-107
Table 4-27.	Estimated direct expenditures during operation and related employment and labor income impacts under the proposed build alternatives	4-108
Table 4-28.	Estimated indirect employment and income during preconstruction (design and engineering) under the proposed build alternatives	4-109

Table-4 29.	Estimated indirect employment and income during construction under the proposed build alternatives.....	4-109
Table 4-30.	Estimated indirect employment and income	4-110
Table 4-31.	Existing and predicted noise levels: Anchorage approach alternatives, Phases 1 and 2	4-132
Table 4-32.	Recommended Preliminary Site Investigations	4-150
Table 4-33.	Estimated annual vehicular energy use in the KAC Study Area.....	4-152
Table 4-34.	Change in visual quality from key view locations	4-175
Table 4-35.	Summary of Section 4(f) resources affected by the build alternatives.....	4-176
Table 4-36.	Approximate acreage of residential development within 300 feet of water bodies, by Mat-Su watershed.....	4-183
Table 4-37.	Approximate acreage of commercial/industrial development within 300 feet of water bodies, by Mat Su watershed	4-184
Table 4-38.	Direct impacts to wetlands, by alternative (in acres)	4-201
Table 4-39.	Forecast impacts to wetlands without the proposed KAC project	4-202
Table 4-40.	Wetland type versus proposed project indirect impacts in the indirect effects Study Area and difference between No Action Alternative and build alternatives.....	4-205
Table 4-41.	Impacts to the wetland area in the Mat Su indirect effects Study Area	4-206
Table 4-42.	Quantities of marine fill and number of piers in Knik Arm with the Southern Alignment	4-215
Table 4-43.	Forecast impact to terrestrial habitats under the No Action Alternative.....	4-220
Table 4-44.	Direct impacts to terrestrial habitat types, by alternative (in acres)	4-221
Table 4-45.	Forecast indirect impact to terrestrial upland habitats.....	4-222
Table 4-46.	Forecast indirect impact to habitat, by watershed	4-223
Table 4-47.	Potential impacts to essential fish habitat, by alternative.....	4-228
Table 4-48.	Estimated number and type of marine mammals within selected sound pressure levels during pile-driving activity at the Port MacKenzie dock.....	4-251
Table 4-49.	Moose habitat adversely affected by residential and commercial growth under the No Action Alternative.....	4-258
Table 4-50.	Moose habitat adversely affected by residential and commercial growth under implementation of any build alternative.....	4-261
Table 4-51.	Reasonably foreseeable future actions	4-272
Table 4-52.	Mitigation and commitment summary for proposed KAC project	4-329
Table 7-1.	Cooperating agencies and their areas of jurisdiction/expertise	7-2
Table 7-2.	Participating agencies and their areas of jurisdiction/expertise	7-3
Table 7-3.	Interdisciplinary Team meetings.....	7-4
Table 7-4.	Small group meetings (agency).....	7-6
Table 7-5.	One-on-one agency meetings	7-8
Table 7-6.	Tribes participating in the proposed KAC project NEPA process	7-9
Table 7-7.	Chronology of tribal meetings, workshops, and other activities	7-10
Table 7-8.	Chronology of meetings with Government Hill Community Council and Government Hill Knik Arm Crossing Committee	7-12
Table 7-9.	Meetings with and other activities involving NGOs.....	7-13
Table 7-10.	ANCSA corporations invited to participate in the Study	7-14
Table 7-11.	Community Council meetings attended and presentations made.....	7-14
Table 7-12.	Public scoping meeting venue, schedule, and content	7-15
Table 7-13.	Schedule for public meeting notification in newspapers and fliers.....	7-16
Table 7-14.	Additional public meeting opportunities.....	7-17
Table 7-15.	Newspaper inserts overview.....	7-19

Table 7-16. Key issues identified during scoping	7-21
Table 7-17. Issues identified during scoping that were not addressed in detail in this Draft EIS	7-26
Table 8-1. Federal and Local Notification	8-3
Table 8-2. Initial Distribution of Draft EIS and Draft Section 4(f) Evaluation with Invitation to Comment	8-5
Table 8-3. Draft EIS Public Hearing Locations, Dates, and Times	8-6
Table 8-4. Draft EIS Public Open House Locations, Dates, and Times	8-7
Table 8-5. Other Meetings Held Following Approval of the Draft EIS for Public Availability	8-8
Table 8-6. Correspondence and Conclusions	8-15

List of Figures

	<i>On or following page</i>
Figure 1.1. Vicinity map	1-2
Figure 1.2. Study Area	1-4
Figure 2.1. Alternatives Study Area	2-6
Figure 2.2. Constraints – Knik Arm crossing	2-7
Figure 2.3. Constraints – military land	2-11
Figure 2.4. No Action Alternative	2-14
Figure 2.5. Screening process – Level I	2-15
Figure 2.6. Screening process – Level II	2-29
Figure 2.7. Screening process – Level III	2-30
Figure 2.8. Roadway corridors and variants	2-31
Figure 2.9. Knik Arm crossing corridors	2-36
Figure 2.10. Anchorage approach corridors	2-41
Figure 2.11. Military impact issues – Below-the-Bluff Corridor	2-47
Figure 2.12. Military impact issues – Above-the-Bluff Corridor	2-49
Figure 2.13. Potentially affected tank farms – West Bluff Drive Variant	2-53
Figure 2.14. Profile and plan view – Elm Street Variant to A-C Couplet	2-62
Figure 2.15. Typical sections and plan view – Elm Street Variant	2-63
Figure 2.16. Profile and plan view – Elm Street Variant to Ingra-Gambell Couplet	2-64
Figure 2.17. Elm Street Variant	2-66
Figure 2.18. Phasing plan – Elm Street Variant	2-68
Figure 2.19. Percentage non-White populations – Elm Street Variant	2-73
Figure 2.20. Median non-White populations – Elm Street Variant	2-74
Figure 2.21. Military impact issues – Boniface Parkway Corridor	2-81
Figure 2.22. Roadway corridors carried forward after Level III screening	2-94
Figure 2.23. Alignment alternatives	2-95
Figure 2.24. Southern Alignment with Degan and Erickson Alternatives	2-101
Figure 2.25. Degan tunnel – south approach	2-105
Figure 2.26. Degan tunnel – north approach	2-106
Figure 2.27. Erickson tunnel – south approach	2-107
Figure 2.28. Erickson tunnel – north approach	2-108
Figure 2.29. Knik Arm Crossing – proposed alternatives	2-114
Figure 2.30. Typical Phase 1 and final build-out sections – Mat-Su approach alternatives	2-116
Figure 2.31. Southern Alignment – bridge deck section	2-119
Figure 2.32. Typical sections – proposed Below-the-Bluff Roadway	2-122

Figure 2.33. Typical sections – Degan tunnel	2-123
Figure 2.34. Typical sections – Degan – East Loop Road.....	2-124
Figure 2.35. Typical sections – Degan – Ingra-Gambell connection	2-125
Figure 2.36. Typical sections – Erickson tunnel.....	2-127
Figure 2.37. Typical sections – Erickson – Ingra-Gambell connection.....	2-128
Figure 2.38. Expansion of roadway fill	2-130
Figure 2.39. Preferred Alternative.....	2-143
Figure 3.1. Study Area regions.....	3-2
Figure 3.2. Area of indirect effects, land distribution.....	3-6
Figure 3.3. Existing land use	3-8
Figure 3.4. Existing land ownership.....	3-11
Figure 3.5. Existing land ownership – Government Hill.....	3-12
Figure 3.6. Existing zoning.....	3-17
Figure 3.7. Population density – 2000.....	3-21
Figure 3.8. Government Hill neighborhood	3-30
Figure 3.9. Median Income and Percentage non-White populations.....	3-37
Figure 3.10. Regional transportation facilities in the Study Area	3-40
Figure 3.11. Anchorage transportation facilities	3-41
Figure 3.12. V/Cs and travel volumes – Base Year.....	3-50
Figure 3.13. Study Area trails.....	3-57
Figure 3.14. Population of Municipality of Anchorage and Matanuska Susitna Borough.....	3-71
Figure 3.15. Alaskan and regional personal per capita incomes as percentages of U.S. personal per capita income – 1969–2003	3-73
Figure 3.16. Income distribution in Alaska, Anchorage, and the Mat-Su – 1999	3-74
Figure 3.17. Water utilities.....	3-78
Figure 3.18. Wastewater utilities.....	3-80
Figure 3.19. Gas and electric utilities – the Mat-Su	3-81
Figure 3.20. Fuel lines and natural gas utilities – Anchorage.....	3-82
Figure 3.21. Electrical utilities – Anchorage.....	3-84
Figure 3.22. Anchorage carbon monoxide maintenance area boundary.....	3-97
Figure 3.23. Selected Study Area noise receptor locations	3-107
Figure 3.24. Knik Arm bathymetry	3-109
Figure 3.25. Quaternary geologic map – Anchorage area	3-111
Figure 3.26. Study Area gravel pit locations	3-112
Figure 3.27. Knik Arm geologic cross section	3-114
Figure 3.28. Study Area soil types	3-117
Figure 3.29. Soil suitability for building – the Mat-Su.....	3-118
Figure 3.30. Regional potentially active faults and fault-cored folds.....	3-120
Figure 3.31. Earthquake-induced ground failure hazards – Anchorage	3-122
Figure 3.32. Government Hill Historic Districts	3-137
Figure 3.33. Recreational resources, parks, and wildlife refuges – the Mat-Su	3-139
Figure 3.34. Recreational resources – Point MacKenzie Road	3-142
Figure 3.35. Recreational resources, parks, and wildlife refuges – Anchorage Bowl.....	3-144
Figure 3.36. Recreational resources, parks, and wildlife refuges – Anchorage.....	3-145
Figure 3.37. Study Area landscape units	3-149
Figure 3.38. Locations of key views – the Mat-Su.....	3-154
Figure 3.39. Locations of key views – Anchorage	3-155
Figure 3.40. Key view 1: Looking west-northwest along Point MacKenzie Road from near the bluffs above Port MacKenzie	3-156

Figure 3.41. Key view 2: Looking east-southeast from above Port MacKenzie, across Knik Arm.....	3-156
Figure 3.42. Key view 3: East Cook Avenue and East Bluff Drive.....	3-157
Figure 3.43. Key view 4: Erickson (from Harvard Avenue intersection).....	3-158
Figure 3.44. Key view 5: Degan Street (from intersection with Harvard Avenue).....	3-158
Figure 3.45. Key view 6: Harvard Park (intersection of Harvard Avenue and Degan Street).....	3-159
Figure 3.46. Key view 7: Sunset Park (intersection of Vine Avenue and Birch Street).....	3-159
Figure 3.47. Key view 8: Port of Anchorage, facing north.....	3-160
Figure 3.48. Key view 9: Ship Creek, facing north from the creek’s southern bank.....	3-161
Figure 3.49. Key view 10: Third Avenue toward Ingra and Gambell Streets, facing east.....	3-162
Figure 3.50. Section 4(f) Study Area.....	3-164
Figure 3.51. Section 4(f) resources – the Mat-Su.....	3-165
Figure 3.52. Section 4(f) resources – Anchorage.....	3-166
Figure 3.53. Study area water bodies and streams.....	3-169
Figure 3.54. Study area wetland habitat types.....	3-177
Figure 3.55. Study Area habitat types.....	3-188
Figure 3.56. Essential fish habitat.....	3-197
Figure 3.57. Bald eagle nest locations.....	3-209
Figure 3.58. Southcentral Alaska Game Management Units.....	3-216
Figure 3.59. Study Area moose habitat.....	3-219
Figure 4.1. Difference in 2030 population by Planning Area – Anchorage Bowl.....	4-8
Figure 4.2. Difference in 2030 population by region – Mat Su modeled area.....	4-9
Figure 4.3. Difference in 2030 employment by Planning Area – Anchorage Bowl.....	4-10
Figure 4.4. Difference in 2030 employment by region – Mat Su modeled area.....	4-11
Figure 4.5. Change in land use by community council – Southwest Mat Su.....	4-13
Figure 4.6. Projected V/Cs and traffic volumes in 2030 – No Action Alternative.....	4-35
Figure 4.7. Profile and plan – Degan Alternative.....	4-37
Figure 4.8. Projected V/Cs and traffic volumes in 2030 – Degan Alternative.....	4-38
Figure 4.9. Profile and plan – Erickson Alternative.....	4-40
Figure 4.10. Projected V/Cs and traffic volumes in 2030 – Erickson Alternative.....	4-41
Figure 4.11. Population projections for 2000–2030 – Anchorage and the Mat Su.....	4-69
Figure 4.12. ROW and relocation map – the Mat Su.....	4-89
Figure 4.13. ROW and relocation map – Crossing and proposed Below-the-Bluff Roadway.....	4-90
Figure 4.14. ROW and relocations map – Degan Alternative.....	4-92
Figure 4.15. ROW and relocations map – Erickson Alternative.....	4-94
Figure 4.16. Driving time from Downtown Anchorage.....	4-118
Figure 4.17. Commercial sector population thresholds – Anchorage.....	4-120
Figure 4.18. Anchorage CO emissions.....	4-123
Figure 4.19. Hazardous materials sites – the Mat Su.....	4-142
Figure 4.20. Hazardous materials sites near the proposed Below-the-Bluff Roadway.....	4-144
Figure 4.21. Hazardous materials sites – Anchorage.....	4-146
Figure 4.22. Proposed Erickson Alternative in the Government Hill Urban Renewal Historic District.....	4-156
Figure 4.23. Impacts – Degan Alternative.....	4-166
Figure 4.24. Impacts – Erickson Alternative.....	4-168
Figure 4.25. Section 4(f) Resources – potential mitigation areas.....	4-180
Figure 4.26. Watersheds.....	4-182
Figure 4.27. Project-induced differences in maximum spring tide currents, computed as Alternative I minus Base Case (present condition).....	4-191

Figure 4.28. Difference in 28-day averaged RMS current speeds (Alternative I-Base Case) 4-193
Figure 4.29. Spring ebb tidal currents near west approach embankment abutment 4-195
Figure 4.30. Impacts – wetlands 4-204
Figure 4.31. Reasonably foreseeable future actions 4-270
Figure 4.32. Developed land, Mat Su modeled area – base year and 2030 4-276
Figure 4.33. Wetlands acreage, Mat Su modeled area – base year and 2030 4-304
Figure 4.34. Terrestrial habitat – Mat Su modeled area 4-312

Figure 8.1 Top 10 Comments Received Grouped by Specific Category 8-31

Appendices Included in the EIS

- A. Land Use
- B. Social Environment
- C. Physical Environment
- D. Cultural Environment
- E. Section 4(f)
- F. Natural Environment
- G. Notices of Availability of Draft EIS and Notices of Public Hearing
- H. Public Hearing Material**
- I. Public Hearing Transcripts**
- J. Records of Other Meetings Held and Conclusionary Documents/Correspondence**
- K. Draft EIS Comment Database Reports**
- L. Comments Submitted on Draft EIS (Agency /Tribe/Public Dividers)**
- M. Wetlands and Floodplain Finding**