



Your agency EPA

Your name: E. Samek

A. Your level of acceptance of the screening criteria proposed to be used to determine reasonableness of project concepts (mode and type of crossing) (please circle one):

4 - I agree with the screening criteria

3 - I agree with the screening criteria, but would like to make a minor adjustment or add to them. My suggested changes are:

2 - I don't have an opinion because I need more information, which is:

time to think about it

1 - I believe the proposed screening criteria are seriously flawed because:

B. Other project concepts (mode or type of crossing) that should be considered are (please circle one):

None - the ones you have considered are sufficient.

Other - which is: Comments: improving existing

infrastructure needs to be examined & discussed more fully (in concert w/ a discussion of all costs, including environmental costs.)

C. Your level of acceptance of the assessment of "reasonableness" for these concepts (please circle one):

4 - I agree with the assessment for all the concepts presented as "not reasonable" today.

3 - I generally agree with the assessment, but would like to retain the following concept(s) for the following reasons: _____

2 - I don't have an opinion because I need more information, which is: _____

1 - I have fundamental disagreements with your assessment of reasonableness. My objections or concerns are (please write on the back if needed): _____

Reasonableness must be infused with environmental considerations. There must be criteria for environment also.



Your agency MSB

Your name: Murphy

A. Your level of acceptance of the screening criteria proposed to be used to determine reasonableness of project concepts (mode and type of crossing) (please circle one):

4 - I agree with the screening criteria — not quite yet

3 - I agree with the screening criteria, but would like to make a minor adjustment or add to them. My suggested changes are:

Issue of logical termini needs to be resolved.

2 - I don't have an opinion because I need more information, which is:

1 - I believe the proposed screening criteria are seriously flawed because:

Need work -

B. Other project concepts (mode or type of crossing) that should be considered are (please circle one):

None - the ones you have considered are sufficient.

Other - which is: Need to be careful in how the other projects are dealt with

C. Your level of acceptance of the assessment of "reasonableness" for these concepts (please circle one):

4 - I agree with the assessment for all the concepts presented as "not reasonable" today.

3 - I generally agree with the assessment, but would like to retain the following concept(s) for the following reasons: _____

2 - I don't have an opinion because I need more information, which is: _____

1 - I have fundamental disagreements with your assessment of reasonableness. My objections or concerns are (please write on the back if needed): Need to work the issues.

Will provide comments within the 2 weeks timeframe.

Good meeting Good Discussion - Good Listening.



Your agency COE

Your name: IRVIN T. JOY

A. Your level of acceptance of the screening criteria proposed to be used to determine reasonableness of project concepts (mode and type of crossing) (please circle one):

4 – I agree with the screening criteria

3 – I agree with the screening criteria, but would like to make a minor adjustment or add to them. My suggested changes are:

Careful reassessment of the Purpose & Need.

2 – I don't have an opinion because I need more information, which is:

1 – I believe the proposed screening criteria are seriously flawed because:

B. Other project concepts (mode or type of crossing) that should be considered are (please circle one):

None – the ones you have considered are sufficient.

Other – which is: _____

C. Your level of acceptance of the assessment of "reasonableness" for these concepts (please circle one):

4 – I agree with the assessment for all the concepts presented as "not reasonable" today.

3 – I generally agree with the assessment, but would like to retain the following concept(s) for the following reasons: However, the information provided

on alternatives is presented in a way designed to favor the preferred alternatives.

2 – I don't have an opinion because I need more information, which is: _____

1 – I have fundamental disagreements with your assessment of reasonableness. My objections or concerns are (please write on the back if needed): _____



Your agency ADFG

Your name: Mark Fink

A. Your level of acceptance of the screening criteria proposed to be used to determine reasonableness of project concepts (mode and type of crossing) (please circle one):

4 - I agree with the screening criteria

3 - I agree with the screening criteria, but would like to make a minor adjustment or add to them. My suggested changes are:

2 - I don't have an opinion because I need more information, which is:

I would like an understanding for how the DEIS captures/justifies why options were eliminated

1 - I believe the proposed screening criteria are seriously flawed because:

B. Other project concepts (mode or type of crossing) that should be considered are (please circle one):

None - the ones you have considered are sufficient.

Other - which is: I agreed w/ comment for evaluating how improvements to existing road system (part to part) would compare to pile-supported bridge

C. Your level of acceptance of the assessment of "reasonableness" for these concepts (please circle one):

4 - I agree with the assessment for all the concepts presented as "not reasonable" today.

3 - I generally agree with the assessment, but would like to retain the following concept(s) for the following reasons:

2 - I don't have an opinion because I need more information, which is: Costs for projects eliminated should be broadened - interested on differences of bridge types.

1 - I have fundamental disagreements with your assessment of reasonableness. My objections or concerns are (please write on the back if needed): _____



Your agency U.S. Army

Your name: Allen Lucht

A. Your level of acceptance of the screening criteria proposed to be used to determine reasonableness of project concepts (mode and type of crossing) (please circle one):

4 - I agree with the screening criteria

3 - I agree with the screening criteria, but would like to make a minor adjustment or add to them. My suggested changes are:

Initial comment: IF NEPA allows, financial feasibility (P/N) needs to be better defined to preclude public assumption.

2 - I don't have an opinion because I need more information, which is:

1 - I believe the proposed screening criteria are seriously flawed because:

B. Other project concepts (mode or type of crossing) that should be considered are (please circle one):

None - the ones you have considered are sufficient.

Other - which is: _____

C. Your level of acceptance of the assessment of "reasonableness" for these concepts (please circle one):

4 - I agree with the assessment for all the concepts presented as "not reasonable" today.

3 - I generally agree with the assessment, but would like to retain the following concept(s) for the following reasons: Ferry is a reasonable concept for the short

term in it's own right. If longer range evaluation (15-20 yrs) discounts due to financial unreasonableness, state so.

2 - I don't have an opinion because I need more information, which is: _____

1 - I have fundamental disagreements with your assessment of reasonableness. My objections or concerns are (please write on the back if needed): _____



Your agency ALASKA RAILROAD

Your name: BRUCE CARL

A. Your level of acceptance of the screening criteria proposed to be used to determine reasonableness of project concepts (mode and type of crossing) (please circle one):

- 4 - I agree with the screening criteria
- 3 - I agree with the screening criteria, but would like to make a minor adjustment or add to them. My suggested changes are:

INCLUDE FINANCIAL CONSTRAINT OF PROJECT

2 - I don't have an opinion because I need more information, which is:

1 - I believe the proposed screening criteria are seriously flawed because:

NEGATIVE IMPACT ON FUTURE PROJECTS OF OTHER MODES

B. Other project concepts (mode or type of crossing) that should be considered are (please circle one):

- None - the ones you have considered are sufficient.
- Other - which is: NO ACTION - PLEASE DEFINE ?
PRESENT IN TMDOT

C. Your level of acceptance of the assessment of "reasonableness" for these concepts (please circle one):

- 4 - I agree with the assessment for all the concepts presented as "not reasonable" today.
- 3 - I generally agree with the assessment, but would like to retain the following concept(s) for the following reasons: _____

2 - I don't have an opinion because I need more information, which is: _____

1 - I have fundamental disagreements with your assessment of reasonableness. My objections or concerns are (please write on the back if needed): _____

FINANCIAL CONSTRAINT OF PROJECT NOT UTILIZED ALONG WITH OTHER CRITERIA



Your agency USDI-BLM

Your name: Rodney Huffman

A. Your level of acceptance of the screening criteria proposed to be used to determine reasonableness of project concepts (mode and type of crossing) (please circle one):

4 – I agree with the screening criteria

3 – I agree with the screening criteria, but would like to make a minor adjustment or add to them. My suggested changes are:

2 – I don't have an opinion because I need more information, which is:

1 – I believe the proposed screening criteria are seriously flawed because:

B. Other project concepts (mode or type of crossing) that should be considered are (please circle one):

None – the ones you have considered are sufficient.

Other – which is: - other bridge design, location

C. Your level of acceptance of the assessment of "reasonableness" for these concepts (please circle one):

4 – I agree with the assessment for all the concepts presented as "not reasonable" today.

3 – I generally agree with the assessment, but would like to retain the following concept(s) for the following reasons: Possible alternate Bridge type indifferent

location. Even though it may fallout as technically
feasible consider^{ation} should still be given.

2 – I don't have an opinion because I need more information, which is:

1 – I have fundamental disagreements with your assessment of reasonableness. My objections or concerns are (please write on the back if needed):



Your agency HQ ALASKAN COMMAND

Your name: JAMES SPELL

A. Your level of acceptance of the screening criteria proposed to be used to determine reasonableness of project concepts (mode and type of crossing) (please circle one):

4 - I agree with the screening criteria

3 - I agree with the screening criteria, but would like to make a minor adjustment or add to them. My suggested changes are:

2 - I don't have an opinion because I need more information, which is:

1 - I believe the proposed screening criteria are seriously flawed because:

B. Other project concepts (mode or type of crossing) that should be considered are (please circle one):

None - the ones you have considered are sufficient.

Other - which is: _____

C. Your level of acceptance of the assessment of "reasonableness" for these concepts (please circle one):

4 - I agree with the assessment for all the concepts presented as "not reasonable" today.

3 - I generally agree with the assessment, but would like to retain the following concept(s) for the following reasons: ^{UNREASONABLE} FERRY. I FEEL IT A HARD SELL TO A

PUBLIC FAMILIAR W/ SEATTLE & THE ALASKA MARINE HWY SYSTEM.

2 - I don't have an opinion because I need more information, which is: _____

1 - I have fundamental disagreements with your assessment of reasonableness. My objections or concerns are (please write on the back if needed): _____

Comments on Room: HARD TO HEAR, TOO LARGE